IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE, ANDHRA PRADESH
AT HYDERABAD

(Special Original Jurisdiction)

TUESDAY, THE FOURTEENTH DAY OF SEPTEMBER
TWO THOUSAND AND FOUR

PRESENT

THE HON'BLE DR JUSTICE G.YETHIRAJULU

WRIT PETITION NO : 16408 of 2004

Between:

B.Damodara Reddy, S/o B.Rami Reddy,

Aged 40 years, R/o 9-1-E, Degree College Road,

Pulivendula, Kadapa District.

..... PETITIONER

AND

The Regional Transport Authority, Kadapa,

rep.by its Secretary.

.....RESPONDENT

Petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed herein the High Court may be pleased to issue an appropriate Writ, Order or direction more particularly one in the nature of Writ of Mandamus declaring the action of the respondent herein in not considering and disposing of the petitioner's application dated 24.06.2004 registered as R.No.5718/A2/2004 for the grant of pucca stage carriage permit on the existing town service route Pulivendula Old Bus Stand to Motnuthalapalli by granting the permit, as illegal and arbitrary and consequently direct the respondent herein to consider and dispose of the application of the petitioner dated 24-6-2004 registered as R.No.5718/A2/2004 by granting the permit on the existing town service route Pulivendula Old Bus Stand to Motnuthalapalli forthwith.

Counsel for the Petitioner: MR.ARIFULLA

Counsel for the Respondent : GP FOR TRANSPORT

The Court at the stage of admission made the following :

-

-

-

-

-

-

THE HONOURABLE DR.JUSTICE G.YETHIRAJULU

WRIT PETITION No.16408 OF 2004

ORDER:

The petitioner is a private bus operator. He contends that he made an application for grant of Town Service permit on 24.06.2004. His apprehension is that his application is not likely to be considered by the respondent in the near future on account of the past experience. The respondent is not conducting the meeting once in three months as provided under the Rules. He, therefore, approached this Court seeking a direction to the respondent to consider his application and to pass appropriate orders.

2. It is unfortunate that the private operators approached this Court making this Court to remind the respondent about its statutory duty of conducting meeting once in three months and to issue directions at the instance of private parties.

3. In the light of the apprehension of the petitioner that meeting is not likely to be conducted in the near future, the respondent is directed to follow the Rules regarding conducting of meeting and to consider the application of the petitioner in the said meeting and pass appropriate orders according to Rules under any circumstances, not later than three months from the date of the receipt of a copy of this order.

4. The Writ Petition is accordingly disposed of. No order as to costs.

_____

Dr.G.YETHIRAJULU,J

Date:14th September, 2004.

NOTE:

Issue C.C. in three days.

(B/O)

CS/KM

ASSISTANT REGISTRAR

//TRUE COPY//

SECTION OFFICER

To

1.The Secretary, Regional Transport Authority, Kadapa.

2.Two C.Cs. to the Government Pleader for Transport, High Court

Buildings, Hyderabad. (O.U.T.)

3. Two C.D. copies.